Applicant's invention is an archery bow sight adapted for use with an archery bow having a handle and two oppositely disposed limbs comprises a bow stabilizing bar extending forwardly from the handle, a laser, and a mounting bracket for mounting the laser to the stabilizing bar so as to direct a laser beam forwardly from the bow. With this construction, the laser is mounted to the stabilizing bar so as to direct a laser beam in a direction generally along a portion of the path of an arrow propelled by the bow.

The examiner has taken the position that element reference number 2 is a "bow stabilizing bar". It is respectfully submitted that this contention is erroneous. The Huang patent clearly states that element number 2 is a "holder frame", see column 2, line 9. This holder frame is unitized as a mounting bracket for a laser module. This type of mounting bracket is not an archery stabilizer which is a very unique and extremely specific piece of equipment associated with bows. These stabilizers are designed to include a large amount of mass and be of a shape and length to aid the archer in maintaining a constant position of the bow during the aiming and releasing procedure. A "holder frame" should in no terms be considered to be an archery stabilizer. To illustrate this point Applicant has attached hereto a photograph (Exhibit A) of an archer and bow. The bow (B) is seen to include a visual sight (S) and a stabilizing bar (SB). The "holder frame" of Huang is most similar

to the visual sight (S), as each is a "frame". However, Applicant respectfully points out that in addition to this visual sight (S) the bow includes a separate and distinct stabilizing bar (SB). This stabilizing bar (SB) in no way acts or resembles a "frame", or visa-versa. It should be noted that <u>Huang</u> never uses the term "stabilizer bar", "stabilizer" or "bar" throughout the entire patent. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that the examiner has wrongfully included or misnamed a "frame" to be the highly specific element identified in Applicant's claim 1 as a stabilizer bar.

It is further pointed out to the examiner that the examiner's sited reference was specifically described in Applicant's BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION. Applicant pointed out that "laser bow sights have typically been mounted to the handle portion of the bow, as shown in U.S. Patent Nos/ 4,606,629 and 5,495,675." The Applicant then pointed out the problems associated with the type of mounting system, see page 2, lines 17-26, which included difficulty in mounting, movement, misalignment, marring of the bow, and bow flexing associated problems. Applicant's unique mounting of the laser sight upon the highly specific element of an archery stabilizing bar has overcome these problems associated with the mounting of the laser upon a "frame" mounted to the bow.

In conclusion, Applicant respectfully submits that the holder frame (2) in <u>Huang</u> is not, and should not be considered, an archery stabilizing bar. As such, the <u>Huang</u> patent should not be utilized

to show anticipation of Applicant's claims.

It is believed that the application is in condition for allowance. An early notice to such effect is accordingly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dorian B. Kennedy Reg. No. 36,840

BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN & CALDWELL Suite 900 Five Concourse Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30328 (770) 396-2244

Docket No. 170566-00007